Table 3B 2008 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance (1) North Dakota Comparison of Racial and Ethnic Distribution by County

Page: 1 Run Date: 11 / 10 / 09

		Comp	arison of Racia	al and Ethnic		²)	Г	т
County	Number Included in	White, Not Hispanic		Hispanic	American Indian/Alaska Native	Asian/Pacific Islander	Multiple Races	All Other/Unknov
	Analysis	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Adams	9	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Barnes	44	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Benson	123	7.3	0.0	1.6	89.4	0.8	0.8	0.0
Bottineau	16	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Bowman	13	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Burke	6	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Burleigh	481	66.3	0.8	6.2	22.7	0.6	3.3	0.0
Cass	827	74.5	10.9	5.8	5.6	1.7	1.6	0.0
Cavalier	14	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Dickey	35	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Divide	5	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Dunn	5	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Eddy	7	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Emmons	16	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Foster	20	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Golden Val	1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Grand Fork	585	70.6	5.1	9.9	8.4	2.1	3.9	0.0
Grant	5	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Griggs	8	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Hettinger	4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.



⁽²⁾ Excludes data with errors.

^{*} Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 3B 2008 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance (1) North Dakota Comparison of Racial and Ethnic Distribution by County

Page: 2 Run Date: 11 / 10 / 09

County	Number Included in	White, Not Hispanic	arison of Racia	Hispanic	American Indian/Alaska	Asian/Pacific Islander	Multiple Races	All Other/Unknow
	Analysis	%	%	%	Native %	%	%	%
Kidder	7	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
LaMoure	6	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Logan	4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
McHenry	17	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
McIntosh	18	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
McKenzie	7	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
McLean	27	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Mercer	19	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Morton	125	75.2	0.0	4.8	16.0	0.8	3.2	0.0
Mountrail	6	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Nelson	11	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Pembina	32	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Pierce	20	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Ramsey	116	62.1	0.9	4.3	26.7	0.0	6.0	0.0
Ransom	36	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Renville	3	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Richland	98	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Rolette	314	4.5	0.0	4.8	89.2	0.3	1.3	0.0
Sargent	19	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Sheridan	8	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.



⁽²⁾ Excludes data with errors.

^{*} Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 3B 2008 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance (1) North Dakota Comparison of Racial and Ethnic Distribution by County

Page: 3 Run Date: 11 / 10 / 09

		Comp	arison of Racia	l and Ethnic	Distribution (2	2)		
County	Number Included in		Black, Not Hispanic	Hispanic	American Indian/Alaska Native	Asian/Pacific Islander	Multiple Races	All Other/Unknown
	Analysis	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Stark	126	89.7	0.0	4.0	4.0	0.8	1.6	0.0
Steele	5	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Stutsman	110	91.8	0.0	8.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Towner	2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Traill	35	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Walsh	110	61.8	0.9	31.8	3.6	0.9	0.9	0.0
Ward	562	76.7	2.1	6.8	7.8	1.6	4.8	0.2
Wells	18	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Williams	116	79.3	0.0	6.0	5.2	0.9	7.8	0.9
North Dakota	4,201	67.8	3.4	7.3	17.5	1.2	2.7	0.0
Nation (Prior Year)	1,252,728	41.7	23.3	27.9	1.1	3.2	1.0	1.8



⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

⁽²⁾ Excludes data with errors.

^{*} Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 4B 2008 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance North Dakota Comparison of Age Distribution by County

Page: 4 Run Date: 11 / 10 / 09

		С	omparison of	Age Distribu	ition (2)			
County	Number Included in Analysis	< 15 Years %	15 - 17 Years %	18 - 19 Years %	20 - 29 Years %	30 - 39 Years %	>= 40 Years %	Unknown %
Adams	9	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Barnes	44	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Benson	123	0.8	13.8	21.1	49.6	14.6	0.0	0.0
Bottineau	16	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Bowman	13	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Burke	6	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Burleigh	481	0.0	5.6	13.5	66.7	12.7	1.5	0.0
Cass	827	0.1	3.4	12.2	66.5	16.9	0.8	0.0
Cavalier	14	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Dickey	35	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Divide	5	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Dunn	5	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Eddy	7	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Emmons	16	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Foster	20	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Golden Val	1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Grand Fork	585	0.0	3.6	11.5	68.9	15.0	1.0	0.0
Grant	5	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Griggs	8	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.



⁽²⁾ Excludes data with errors.

^{*} Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 4B 2008 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance North Dakota Comparison of Age Distribution by County

Page: 5 Run Date: 11 / 10 / 09

		С	omparison of	Age Distribu	ition (2)			
County	Number Included in Analysis	< 15 Years %	15 - 17 Years %	18 - 19 Years %	20 - 29 Years %	30 - 39 Years %	>= 40 Years %	Unknown
								%
Hettinger	4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Kidder	7	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
LaMoure	6	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Logan	4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
McHenry	17	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
McIntosh	18	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
McKenzie	7	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
McLean	27	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Mercer	19	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Morton	125	0.0	4.8	10.4	66.4	17.6	0.8	0.0
Mountrail	6	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Nelson	11	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Pembina	32	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Pierce	20	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Ramsey	116	0.9	7.8	15.5	60.3	14.7	0.9	0.0
Ransom	36	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Renville	3	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Richland	98	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Rolette	314	0.3	9.9	14.3	64.0	11.1	0.3	0.0

⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.



⁽²⁾ Excludes data with errors.

^{*} Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 4B 2008 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance North Dakota Comparison of Age Distribution by County

Page: 6 Run Date: 11 / 10 / 09

	Included in Analysis %											
County	Included in											
Sargent	19	*	*	*	*	*	*	*				
Sheridan	8	*	*	*	*	*	*	*				
Stark	126	0.0	3.2	15.1	63.5	14.3	4.0	0.0				
Steele	5	*	*	*	*	*	*	*				
Stutsman	110	0.0	6.4	19.1	57.3	15.5	1.8	0.0				
Towner	2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*				
Traill	35	*	*	*	*	*	*	*				
Walsh	110	0.0	9.1	15.5	53.6	20.9	0.9	0.0				
Ward	562	0.0	4.1	9.4	71.7	14.2	0.5	0.0				
Wells	18	*	*	*	*	*	*	*				
Williams	116	0.0	5.2	15.5	65.5	12.9	0.9	0.0				
North Dakota	4,201	0.1	5.0	12.7	65.7	15.4	1.0	0.0				
Nation (Prior Year)	1,252,728	0.4	6.5	12.7	59.9	19.0	1.4	0.1				



⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

⁽²⁾ Excludes data with errors.

^{*} Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Page: 7 Run Date: 11 / 10 / 09

			Con	npariso	of Mater	nal Heal	th Indic	ators (2,3	3)					
County	Pre	epregnancy (4)	вмі		Weight Gain (5)			Anen (Low Hb (6)	/Hct)		Dur	etes	Du	rtension iring gnancy
		Under- weight	Over- weight		< Ideal	> Ideal	3rd Trimester		Postpartum					
	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Numbe	r % (Rank)
Adams	8	* *	* *	8	* *	* *	0	* *	5	* *	8	* *	8	* *
Barnes	40	* *	* *	37	* *	* *	11	* *	38	* *	40	* *	40	* *
Benson	98	* *	* *	75	* *	* *	17	* *	34	* *	85	* *	85	* *
Bottineau	10	* *	* *	9	* *	* *	3	* *	12	* *	15	* *	15	* *
Bowman	12	* *	* *	11	* *	* *	2	* *	9	* *	10	* *	10	* *
Burke	4	* *	* *	4	* *	* *	1	* *	5	* *	5	* *	5	* *
Burleigh	439	9.3 (7)	49.7 (5)	381	20.7 (5)	44.1 (1)	68	* *	346	19.9 (2)	378	7.4 (6)	378	11.6(4)
Cass	699	7.6 (4)	46.9 (3)	593	21.4 (6)	45.2 (2)	140	25.0 (1)	599	21.0 (3)	660	3.5 (3)	659	7.6(1)
Cavalier	14	* *	* *	8	* *	* *	1	* *	4	* *	5	* *	5	* *
Dickey	31	* *	* *	26	* *	* *	7	* *	26	* *	27	* *	27	* *
Divide	3	* *	* *	3	* *	* *	0	* *	5	* *	4	* *	4	* *
Dunn	5	* *	* *	4	* *	* *	0	* *	0	* *	3	* *	3	* *
Eddy	6	* *	* *	6	* *	* *	3	* *	6	* *	7	* *	7	* *
Emmons	13	* *	* *	13	* *	* *	1	* *	10	* *	15	* *	15	* *
Foster	14	* *	* *	10	* *	* *	2	* *	11	* *	14	* *	14	* *
Golden Val	0	* *	* *	0	* *	* *	0	* *	1	* *	1	* *	1	* *
Grand Fork	470	6.8 (3)	50.4 (6)	362	17.4 (4)	48.3 (4)	89	* *	315	25.1 (5)	390	3.1 (2)	390	14.1(5)

⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.



⁽²⁾ Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

⁽³⁾ Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

⁽⁴⁾ Based on 1990 IOM report, "Nutrition During Pregnancy": underweight (BMI <19.8), overweight (BMI >26.0; includes overweight and obese women).

⁽⁵⁾ Based on 1990 IOM report, "Nutrition During Pregnancy": underweight prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 28 to 40 lbs), normal weight prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 25 to 35 lbs), overweight prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 15 to 25 lbs), obese prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 15 to 25 lbs).

⁽⁶⁾ Based on 1990 MMWR, "Recommendations to Prevent and Control Iron Deficiency in the United States"; adjusted for altitude and smoking.

Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Page: 8 Run Date: 11 / 10 / 09

			Con	npariso	n of Mater	nal Heal	th Indica	ators (2, 3	3)		
County	Pro	epregnancy (4)	ВМІ		Weight Gain (5)			Anen (Low Ht	o/Hct)	Gestational Diabetes During Pregnancy	Hypertension During Pregnancy
		Under- weight	Over- weight		< Ideal	> Ideal	3rd Tri	imester	Postpartum		
	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number % (Rank)	Number % (Rank)	Number % (Rank)
Grant	5	* *	* *	4	* *	* *	0	* *	5 * *	5 * *	4 * *
Griggs	6	* *	* *	6	* *	* *	1	* *	6 * *	8 * *	8 * *
Hettinger	4	* *	* *	3	* *	* *	0	* *	1 * *	2 * *	2 * *
Kidder	5	* *	* *	4	* *	* *	1	* *	6 * *	6 * *	6 * *
LaMoure	6	* *	* *	4	* *	* *	0	* *	6 * *	5 * *	6 * *
Logan	3	* *	* *	3	* *	* *	0	* *	1 * *	3 * *	3 * *
McHenry	13	* *	* *	12	* *	* *	2	* *	11 * *	15 * *	15 * *
McIntosh	16	* *	* *	14	* *	* *	4	* *	4 * *	1 * *	1 * *
McKenzie	6	* *	* *	5	* *	* *	0	* *	3 * *	5 * *	5 * *
McLean	22	* *	* *	20	* *	* *	4	* *	21 * *	20 * *	20 * *
Mercer	17	* *	* *	14	* *	* *	4	* *	15 * *	16 * *	16 * *
Morton	110	10.9 (9)	41.8 (1)	83	* *	* *	18	* *	88 * *	94 * *	93 * *
Mountrail	4	* *	* *	1	* *	* *	0	* *	2 * *	3 * *	3 * *
Nelson	7	* *	* *	7	* *	* *	1	* *	7 * *	7 * *	7 * *
Pembina	29	* *	* *	23	* *	* *	7	* *	12 * *	24 * *	24 * *
Pierce	19	* *	* *	15	* *	* *	3	* *	13 * *	15 * *	15 * *
Ramsey	113	8.0 (5)	52.2 (7)	104	16.3 (2)	50.0 (5)	16	* *	90 * *	101 3.0 (1)	101 18.8(6)

⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.



⁽²⁾ Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

⁽³⁾ Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

⁽⁴⁾ Based on 1990 IOM report, "Nutrition During Pregnancy": underweight (BMI <19.8), overweight (BMI >26.0; includes overweight and obese women).

⁽⁵⁾ Based on 1990 IOM report, "Nutrition During Pregnancy": underweight prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 28 to 40 lbs), normal weight prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 25 to 35 lbs), overweight prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 15 to 25 lbs), obese prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 15 to 25 lbs).

⁽⁶⁾ Based on 1990 MMWR, "Recommendations to Prevent and Control Iron Deficiency in the United States"; adjusted for altitude and smoking.

Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Page: 9 Run Date: 11 / 10 / 09

			Con	npariso	n of Mater	nal Heal	th Indic	ators (2, 3	3)					
County	Pr	epregnancy (4)	вмі		Weight Gain (5)			Anen (Low Hb (6)	o/Hct)		Gesta Diab Dur Pregr	etes ing	Du	rtension uring gnancy
	Under- Over- weight weight				< Ideal	> Ideal	3rd Trimester		Postp	artum				
	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Numbe	r % (Rank)
Ransom	27	* *	* *	22	* *	* *	7	* *	20	* *	32	* *	32	* *
Renville	2	* *	* *	2	* *	* *	0	* *	0	* *	3	* *	3	* *
Richland	79	* *	* *	58	* *	* *	10	* *	51	* *	70	* *	70	* *
Rolette	292	6.5 (2)	53.4 (8)	275	17.1 (3)	50.2 (6)	22	* *	206	24.3 (4)	255	5.1 (5)	256	7.8(2)
Sargent	19	* *	* *	17	* *	* *	3	* *	13	* *	15	* *	15	* *
Sheridan	6	* *	* *	6	* *	* *	0	* *	4	* *	8	* *	8	* *
Stark	112	6.3 (1)	49.1 (4)	95	* *	* *	35	* *	53	* *	93	* *	93	* *
Steele	4	* *	* *	4	* *	* *	3	* *	4	* *	2	* *	2	* *
Stutsman	88	* *	* *	74	* *	* *	18	* *	66	* *	82	* *	82	* *
Towner	2	* *	* *	2	* *	* *	0	* *	1	* *	2	* *	2	* *
Traill	31	* *	* *	24	* *	* *	5	* *	23	* *	26	* *	26	* *
Walsh	101	8.9 (6)	59.4 (9)	89	* *	* *	15	* *	55	* *	73	* *	73	* *
Ward	461	10.4 (8)	44.5 (2)	385	15.8 (1)	48.1 (3)	96	* *	354	12.7 (1)	406	4.7 (4)	405	9.4(3)
Wells	18	* *	* *	15	* *	* *	1	* *	11	* *	12	* *	12	* *
Williams	93	* *	* *	70	* *	* *	42	* *	60	* *	69	* *	69	* *
North Dakota	3,586	7.9	49.2	3,010	18.2	48.0	663	26.7	2,638	20.4	3,145	5.3	3,143	10.8

⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.



⁽²⁾ Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

⁽³⁾ Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

⁽⁴⁾ Based on 1990 IOM report, "Nutrition During Pregnancy": underweight (BMI <19.8), overweight (BMI >26.0; includes overweight and obese women).

⁽⁵⁾ Based on 1990 IOM report, "Nutrition During Pregnancy": underweight prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 28 to 40 lbs), normal weight prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 25 to 35 lbs), overweight prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 15 to 25 lbs), obese prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 15 to 25 lbs).

⁽⁶⁾ Based on 1990 MMWR, "Recommendations to Prevent and Control Iron Deficiency in the United States"; adjusted for altitude and smoking.

Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Page: 10 Run Date: 11 / 10 / 09

			Con	nparison	of Mate	rnal Heal	th Indic	ators (2,	3)					
County	Prep	oregnancy (4)	ВМІ	١	Veight Gain (5)	ı		Anen (Low Hi (6)	o/Hct)		Gestat Diabe Durii Pregn	etes ng	Dur	tension ring nancy
	weight weigh		Over- weight	< Ideal > Ideal			3rd T	rimester	Postp	artum		·		
	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)
Nation (Prior Year)	1,175,574	10.9	44.5	922,658	25.0	42.8	180,176	33.5	414,735	29.8	230,547	3.9	280,574	4.3



⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

⁽²⁾ Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

⁽³⁾ Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

⁽⁴⁾ Based on 1990 IOM report, "Nutrition During Pregnancy": underweight (BMI <19.8), overweight (BMI >26.0; includes overweight and obese women).

⁽⁵⁾ Based on 1990 IOM report, "Nutrition During Pregnancy": underweight prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 28 to 40 lbs), normal weight prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 25 to 35 lbs), overweight prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 15 to 25 lbs), obese prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 15 to 25 lbs).

⁽⁶⁾ Based on 1990 MMWR, "Recommendations to Prevent and Control Iron Deficiency in the United States"; adjusted for altitude and smoking.

Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Page: 11 Run Date: 11 / 10 / 09

	C	ompari	sor	of M	late	rnal Beh	aviora	al Inc	dicators	(2, 3)				
County		Medical (Care			WIC Er	nrollmen	t		Multivitar	nin Co	onsumptio	n	
		1st Trimest	ter	No C	are	1st Tr	imester			egnancy (4)		During	Preganar	псу
	Number	% (Ran	k)	% (Ra		Number	% (Rai		Number	% (Rar		Number		% ank)
Adams	6	*	*	*	*	8	*	*	4	*	*	4	*	*
Barnes	29	*	*	*	*	39	*	*	39	*	*	35	*	*
Benson	80	*	*	*	*	98	*	*	40	*	*	79	*	*
Bottineau	9	*	*	*	*	12	*	*	10	*	*	10	*	*
Bowman	9	*	*	*	*	12	*	*	8	*	*	9	*	*
Burke	4	*	*	*	*	3	*	*	4	*	*	4	*	*
Burleigh	254	89.4	(2)	0.0	(1)	455	42.6	(5)	374	18.7	(5)	320	6.3	(4)
Cass	399	84.0	(4)	0.0	(1)	677	41.8	(6)	471	20.2	(4)	523	9.2	(1)
Cavalier	9	*	*	*	*	14	*	*	12	*	*	12	*	*
Dickey	17	*	*	*	*	32	*	*	27	*	*	24	*	*
Divide	0	*	*	*	*	3	*	*	2	*	*	1	*	*
Dunn	3	*	*	*	*	5	*	*	3	*	*	3	*	*
Eddy	4	*	*	*	*	7	*	*	4	*	*	4	*	*
Emmons	11	*	*	*	*	13	*	*	12	*	*	10	*	*
Foster	8	*	*	*	*	14	*	*	13	*	*	11	*	*
Golden Val	0	*	*	*	*	0	*	*	0	*	*	0	*	*
Grand Fork	258	91.1	(1)	0.0	(1)	471	46.7	(3)	448	24.6	(3)	357	9.2	(1)
Grant	4	*	*	*	*	5	*	*	4	*	*	4	*	*
Griggs	5	*	*	*	*	6	*	*	6	*	*	6	*	*
Hettinger	3	*	*	*	*	4	*	*	3	*	*	2	*	*

- (1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.
- (2) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.
- (3) Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.
- (4) Women who reported taking a multivitamin four times a week or more in the month before pregnancy; proxy for folic acid consumption.
- (5) Pregnant women who reported taking a multivitamin in the past month: proxy for prenatal iron consumption.
- * Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.



Table 6B 2008 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance (1) North Dakota

Page: 12 Run Date: 11 / 10 / 09

Comparison of Maternal Behavioral Indicators by County

	C	ompar	isor	of M	late	rnal Beh	aviora	al Ind	dicators	(2, 3)				
County		Medical	Care			WIC Er	nrollmen	t	ı	Multivitam	nin Co	onsumption		
		1st Trimes	ster	No C	are	1st Tr	imester		1	egnancy 4)			Preganan 5)	ісу
	Number	% (Rar		% (Ra		Number	% (Rai		Number	% (Ran		Number		% ank)
Kidder	2	*	*	*	*	6	*	*	5	*	*	4	*	*
LaMoure	5	*	*	*	*	6	*	*	5	*	*	5	*	*
Logan	2	*	*	*	*	3	*	*	2	*	*	1	*	*
McHenry	5	*	*	*	*	14	*	*	13	*	*	10	*	*
McIntosh	9	*	*	*	*	16	*	*	17	*	*	14	*	*
McKenzie	5	*	*	*	*	6	*	*	6	*	*	4	*	*
McLean	17	*	*	*	*	24	*	*	24	*	*	19	*	*
Mercer	12	*	*	*	*	19	*	*	15	*	*	15	*	*
Morton	61	*	*	*	*	115	35.7	(9)	93	*	*	79	*	*
Mountrail	1	*	*	*	*	4	*	*	3	*	*	2	*	*
Nelson	6	*	*	*	*	8	*	*	7	*	*	6	*	*
Pembina	20	*	*	*	*	29	*	*	27	*	*	27	*	*
Pierce	13	*	*	*	*	20	*	*	19	*	*	17	*	*
Ramsey	81	*	*	*	*	113	46.0	(4)	101	24.8	(2)	90	*	*
Ransom	14	*	*	*	*	27	*	*	25	*	*	20	*	*
Renville	3	*	*	*	*	2	*	*	3	*	*	3	*	*
Richland	40	*	*	*	*	82	*	*	77	*	*	62	*	*
Rolette	187	81.8	(5)	0.0	(1)	298	58.4	(1)	287	12.5	(6)	214	2.3	(5)
Sargent	7	*	*	*	*	18	*	*	9	*	*	8	*	*
Sheridan	5	*	*	*	*	6	*	*	6	*	*	4	*	*

- (1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.
- (2) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.
- (3) Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.
- (4) Women who reported taking a multivitamin four times a week or more in the month before pregnancy; proxy for folic acid consumption.
- (5) Pregnant women who reported taking a multivitamin in the past month: proxy for prenatal iron consumption.
- * Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.



Table 6B 2008 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance (1) North Dakota

Page: 13 Run Date: 11 / 10 / 09

Comparison of Maternal Behavioral Indicators by County

	С	ompar	isor	n of N	late	rnal Beha	aviora	al Ind	dicators	(2, 3)				
County	Medical Care			WIC En	WIC Enrollment			Multivitan	nin C	onsumption				
	1st Trimester		No C	Care	1st Trimester		Prepregnancy (4)			During Preganancy (5)		су		
	Number	% (Rai		% (Ra		Number	% (Ra		Number	% (Rar		Number		% ank)
Stark	70	*	*	*	*	114	40.4	(8)	99	*	*	80	*	*
Steele	2	*	*	*	*	4	*	*	4	*	*	2	*	*
Stutsman	65	*	*	*	*	88	*	*	87	*	*	81	*	*
Towner	0	*	*	*	*	2	*	*	1	*	*	1	*	*
Traill	21	*	*	*	*	32	*	*	32	*	*	11	*	*
Walsh	50	*	*	*	*	104	53.8	(2)	92	*	*	72	*	*
Ward	295	89.2	(3)	0.0	(1)	466	41.2	(7)	433	29.3	(1)	364	8.5	(3)
Wells	12	*	*	*	*	18	*	*	17	*	*	17	*	*
Williams	60	*	*	*	*	94	*	*	76	*	*	80	*	*
North Dakota	2,182	87.2		0.0		3,616	44.2		3,069	22.7		2,730	7.5	
Nation (Prior Year)	612,462	79.4		4.7		1,034,046	31.3		98,170	15.7		238,509	75.9	



⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

⁽²⁾ Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

⁽³⁾ Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

⁽⁴⁾ Women who reported taking a multivitamin four times a week or more in the month before pregnancy; proxy for folic acid consumption.

⁽⁵⁾ Pregnant women who reported taking a multivitamin in the past month: proxy for prenatal iron consumption.

Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Page: 14 Run Date: 11 / 10 / 09

		Co	mpariso	n of Smo	king Ind	icators	(2, 3)					
County		Smo	king		By First	moking Prenatal	Smoking in Household					
	3 Mc Prior to P			Last 3 Months of Pregnancy		Visit and Stayed Off Cigarettes (4)		enatal	Postpartum			
	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rar		
Adams	6	* *	8	* *	1	* *	6	* *	8	*	*	
Barnes	39	* *	41	* *	16	* *	38	* *	41	*	*	
Benson	96	* *	85	* *	37	* *	88	* *	82	*	*	
Bottineau	11	* *	15	* *	6	* *	11	* *	12	*	*	
Bowman	10	* *	10	* *	3	* *	9	* *	9	*	*	
Burke	4	* *	5	* *	1	* *	4	* *	5	*	*	
Burleigh	382	51.0 (6)	379	24.5 (4)	148	46.6 (1)	369	14.1 (1)	371	5.9	(1)	
Cass	625	46.6 (3)	652	23.2 (3)	224	33.9 (4)	601	25.0 (5)	598	16.1	(5)	
Cavalier	14	* *	5	* *	3	* *	12	* *	5	*	*	
Dickey	27	* *	27	* *	8	* *	27	* *	27	*	*	
Divide	1	* *	4	* *	1	* *	1	* *	5	*	*	
Dunn	3	* *	3	* *	0	* *	3	* *	2	*	*	
Eddy	4	* *	7	* *	2	* *	4	* *	7	*	*	
Emmons	12	* *	15	* *	0	* *	12	* *	14	*	*	
Foster	14	* *	14	* *	3	* *	14	* *	14	*	*	

⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.



⁽²⁾ Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

⁽³⁾ Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

⁽⁴⁾ Among women who smoked 3 months prior to pregnancy.

^{*} Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Page: 15 Run Date: 11 / 10 / 09

		Co	mpariso	n of Smo	king Ind	icators	(2, 3)					
County		Smo	king		By First	moking Prenatal	Smoking in Household					
		onths Pregnancy		Last 3 Months of Pregnancy		Visit and Stayed Off Cigarettes (4)		Prenatal		artum		
	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)		
Golden Val	0	* *	1	* *	0	* *	0	* *	1	* *		
Grand Fork	440	47.5 (5)	404	19.8 (1)	126	38.1 (2)	425	17.2 (2)	386	8.5 (2)		
Grant	4	* *	5	* *	0	* *	4	* *	5	* *		
Griggs	6	* *	8	* *	2	* *	5	* *	8	* *		
Hettinger	4	* *	2	* *	0	* *	4	* *	2	* *		
Kidder	5	* *	6	* *	2	* *	5	* *	6	* *		
LaMoure	5	* *	6	* *	2	* *	5	* *	6	* *		
Logan	3	* *	3	* *	0	* *	3	* *	1	* *		
McHenry	7	* *	16	* *	2	* *	13	* *	16	* *		
McIntosh	17	* *	9	* *	1	* *	16	* *	9	* *		
McKenzie	6	* *	4	* *	1	* *	5	* *	3	* *		
McLean	24	* *	22	* *	6	* *	24	* *	20	* *		
Mercer	16	* *	16	* *	10	* *	16	* *	16	* *		
Morton	90	* *	94	* *	40	* *	87	* *	89	* *		
Mountrail	3	* *	3	* *	0	* *	3	* *	2	* *		

⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.



⁽²⁾ Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

⁽³⁾ Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

⁽⁴⁾ Among women who smoked 3 months prior to pregnancy.

Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Page: 16 Run Date: 11 / 10 / 09

		Co	mpariso	n of Smo	king Ind	icators	(2, 3)				
County		Smo	king		By First	Smoking : Prenatal	Smoking in Household				
		onths Pregnancy		Last 3 Months of Pregnancy		Visit and Stayed Off Cigarettes (4)		Prenatal		Postpartum	
	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	
Nelson	8	* *	7	* *	1	* *	7	* *	6	* *	
Pembina	28	* *	24	* *	9	* *	27	* *	24	* *	
Pierce	18	* *	15	* *	3	* *	18	* *	15	* *	
Ramsey	103	46.6 (3)	101	27.7 (5)	37	* *	99	* *	99	* *	
Ransom	21	* *	31	* *	6	* *	26	* *	31	* *	
Renville	3	* *	2	* *	0	* *	3	* *	3	* *	
Richland	78	* *	70	* *	30	* *	75	* *	68	* *	
Rolette	284	65.5 (7)	259	38.2 (6)	151	23.2 (5)	281	24.9 (4)	258	9.7 (3)	
Sargent	9	* *	15	* *	3	* *	9	* *	15	* *	
Sheridan	6	* *	8	* *	1	* *	6	* *	6	* *	
Stark	100	43.0 (2)	95	* *	33	* *	92	* *	94	* *	
Steele	2	* *	2	* *	0	* *	3	* *	2	* *	
Stutsman	89	* *	83	* *	27	* *	84	* *	77	* *	
Towner	1	* *	2	* *	0	* *	1	* *	2	* *	
Traill	29	* *	26	* *	7	* *	27	* *	26	* *	

⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.



⁽²⁾ Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

⁽³⁾ Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

⁽⁴⁾ Among women who smoked 3 months prior to pregnancy.

Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Page: 17 Run Date: 11 / 10 / 09

		Co	mpariso	n of Smo	king Ind	icators	(2, 3)			
County		Smoking				Quit Smoking By First Prenatal		Smoking in	Household	
	Prior to Pregnancy of Pregnancy Ci			Stayed Off rettes (4)	Prenatal		Postpartum			
	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)
Walsh	91	* *	76	* *	20	* *	89	* *	72	* *
Ward	424	40.1 (1)	408	22.5 (2)	116	37.9 (3)	409	18.6 (3)	401	10.0 (4)
Wells	17	* *	12	* *	4	* *	17	* *	11	* *
Williams	89	* *	65	* *	27	* *	87	* *	56	* *
North Dakota	3,278	48.1	3,170	24.4	1,120	34.5	3,174	21.7	3,036	12.1
Nation (Prior Year)	895,227	26.6	716,629	15.8	161,864	35.1	572,120	20.9	486,636	22.6



⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

⁽²⁾ Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

⁽³⁾ Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

⁽⁴⁾ Among women who smoked 3 months prior to pregnancy.

Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Page: 18 Run Date: 11 / 10 / 09

		Compari	son of l	nfant He	alth Indic	ators (2,	3)		
County		Birthweight		Pre	Preterm (6)		m LBW)	Ever Breastfed	
		Low (4)	High (5)						
	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)
Adams	1	* *	* *	1	* *	1	* *	1	* *
Barnes	35	* *	* *	31	* *	24	* *	35	* *
Benson	72	* *	* *	52	* *	44	* *	78	* *
Bottineau	2	* *	* *	2	* *	0	* *	2	* *
Bowman	7	* *	* *	7	* *	7	* *	7	* *
Burke	5	* *	* *	2	* *	1	* *	5	* *
Burleigh	329	10.0 (4)	5.2 (1)	312	13.1 (4)	270	1.5 (2)	333	33.9 (3)
Cass	266	12.0 (5)	9.0 (3)	241	12.4 (3)	211	4.7 (5)	272	44.9 (1)
Cavalier	3	* *	* *	3	* *	3	* *	4	* *
Dickey	24	* *	* *	21	* *	20	* *	24	* *
Divide	2	* *	* *	2	* *	2	* *	2	* *
Dunn	0	* *	* *	0	* *	0	* *	0	* *
Eddy	5	* *	* *	5	* *	4	* *	6	* *
Emmons	12	* *	* *	9	* *	9	* *	12	* *

- (1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.
- (2) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.
- (3) Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.
- (4) <2500 g.
- (5) >4000 g.
- (6) <37 weeks gestation.
- (7) 37 or more weeks gestation and <2500 g.
 - Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.



Page: 19 Run Date: 11 / 10 / 09

		Compari	son of I	nfant He	alth Indic	ators (2, 3)	
County		Birthweight		Pre	eterm (6)	Full Term LBW (7)	Ever Breastfed
		Low (4)	High (5)				
	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number % (Rank)	Number % (Rank)
Foster	11	* *	* *	6	* *	4 * *	11 * *
Golden Val	1	* *	* *	0	* *	0 * *	1 * *
Grand Fork	231	6.5 (1)	7.8 (2)	176	10.8 (2)	154 2.6 (3)	243 34.6 (2)
Grant	5	* *	* *	5	* *	5 * *	5 * *
Griggs	3	* *	* *	2	* *	2 * *	3 * *
Hettinger	1	* *	* *	1	* *	1 * *	1 * *
Kidder	6	* *	* *	5	* *	5 * *	6 * *
LaMoure	6	* *	* *	6	* *	5 * *	6 * *
Logan	0	* *	* *	0	* *	0 * *	0 * *
McHenry	13	* *	* *	11	* *	8 * *	14 * *
McIntosh	0	* *	* *	0	* *	0 * *	0 * *
McKenzie	0	* *	* *	0	* *	0 * *	0 * *
McLean	16	* *	* *	14	* *	12 * *	16 * *
Mercer	14	* *	* *	14	* *	11 * *	14 * *

- (1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.
- (2) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.
- (3) Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.
- (4) <2500 g.
- (5) >4000 g.
- (6) <37 weeks gestation.
- (7) 37 or more weeks gestation and <2500 g.
- * Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.



Page: 20 Run Date: 11 / 10 / 09

		Compari	son of I	nfant He	alth Indic	cators (2, 3)	
County		Birthweight		Pre	eterm (6)	Full Term LBW (7)	Ever Breastfed
		Low (4)	High (5)				
	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number % (Rank)	Number % (Rank)
Morton	84	* *	* *	76	* *	65 * *	86 * *
Mountrail	2	* *	* *	0	* *	0 * *	2 * *
Nelson	7	* *	* *	4	* *	3 * *	7 * *
Pembina	13	* *	* *	11	* *	11 * *	15 * *
Pierce	10	* *	* *	10	* *	10 * *	10 * *
Ramsey	74	* *	* *	71	* *	65 * *	75 * *
Ransom	9	* *	* *	6	* *	4 * *	9 * *
Renville	3	* *	* *	2	* *	2 * *	3 * *
Richland	33	* *	* *	25	* *	25 * *	34 * *
Rolette	140	9.3 (3)	13.6 (5)	133	14.3 (5)	112 4.5 (4)	154 24.0 (5)
Sargent	14	* *	* *	13	* *	10 * *	15 * *
Sheridan	7	* *	* *	5	* *	5 * *	7 * *
Stark	51	* *	* *	41	* *	35 * *	55 * *
Steele	1	* *	* *	1	* *	1 * *	1 * *

- (1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.
- (2) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.
- (3) Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.
- (4) <2500 g.
- (5) >4000 g.
- (6) <37 weeks gestation.
- (7) 37 or more weeks gestation and <2500 g.
 - Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.



Page: 21 Run Date: 11 / 10 / 09

	(Comparis	son of li	nfant He	alth Indic	ators (2	2, 3)			
County	E	Birthweight		Pre	eterm (6)	Full Ter	rm LBW	Ever Breastfed		
		Low (4)	High (5)			,				
	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	
Stutsman	40	* *	* *	31	* *	28	* *	41	* *	
Towner	1	* *	* *	1	* *	0	* *	1	* *	
Traill	17	* *	* *	14	* *	14	* *	18	* *	
Walsh	61	* *	* *	56	* *	49	* *	64	* *	
Ward	252	8.7 (2) 1	0.3 (4)	180	10.0 (1)	161	1.2 (1)	258	26.4 (4)	
Wells	10	* *	* *	10	* *	9	* *	11	* *	
Williams	3	* *	* *	3	* *	3	* *	3	* *	
North Dakota	1,902	8.7	9.5	1,621	12.3	1,415	3.0	1,970	32.8	
Nation (Prior Year)	1,039,036	8.2	6.7	947,705	11.9	856,467	3.7	936,194	64.6	



⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

⁽²⁾ Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

⁽³⁾ Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

^{(4) &}lt;2500 g.

^{(5) &}gt;4000 g.

^{(6) &}lt;37 weeks gestation.

^{(7) 37} or more weeks gestation and <2500 g.

Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 3B 2006 - 2008 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance North Dakota Comparison of Racial and Ethnic Distribution by County

Combined 3 Years

Page: 22 Run Date: 11 / 10 / 09

		Comp	arison of Racia	al and Ethnic		2)		
County	Number Included in Analysis	White, Not Hispanic	Black, Not Hispanic	Hispanic %	American Indian/Alaska Native %	Asian/Pacific Islander %	Multiple Races	All Other/Unknow
Adams	18	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Barnes	82	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Benson	255	6.3	0.0	1.2	90.6	0.8	1.2	0.0
Bottineau	35	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Bowman	27	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Burke	10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Burleigh	955	66.9	0.8	4.8	23.6	0.8	3.0	0.0
Cass	1,646	73.5	11.1	5.8	5.5	2.1	1.7	0.4
Cavalier	27	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Dickey	65	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Divide	13	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Dunn	8	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Eddy	14	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Emmons	50	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Foster	41	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Golden Val	7	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Grand Fork	1,205	70.1	4.2	10.0	10.1	1.7	3.7	0.0
Grant	9	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Griggs	22	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Hettinger	6	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.



⁽²⁾ Excludes data with errors.

Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 3B 2006 - 2008 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance (1) North Dakota

Comparison of Racial and Ethnic Distribution by County

				ned 3 Years				
		Comp	arison of Racia	al and Ethnic		2)		
County	Number Included in		Black, Not Hispanic	•	American Indian/Alaska Native	Asian/Pacific Islander	Multiple Races	All Other/Unknow
	Analysis	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Kidder	20	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
LaMoure	22	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Logan	7	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
McHenry	31	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
McIntosh	37	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
McKenzie	13	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
McLean	57	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Mercer	39	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Morton	250	75.2	0.4	4.0	16.4	1.6	2.4	0.0
Mountrail	9	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Nelson	21	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Pembina	75	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Pierce	41	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Ramsey	214	60.7	0.5	4.2	28.0	0.9	5.6	0.0
Ransom	75	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Renville	6	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Richland	196	73.5	0.5	14.8	8.7	2.0	0.5	0.0
Rolette	603	4.3	0.0	4.5	87.9	0.5	2.5	0.3
Sargent	35	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Sheridan	17	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.



Page: Run Date: 11 / 10 / 09

⁽²⁾ Excludes data with errors.

Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 3B 2006 - 2008 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance North Dakota Comparison of Racial and Ethnic Distribution by County

Combined 3 Years

	Comparison of Racial and Ethnic Distribution (2)											
County	Number Included in	White, Not Hispanic	Black, Not Hispanic	Hispanic	American Indian/Alaska Native	Asian/Pacific Islander	Multiple Races	All Other/Unknown				
	Analysis	%	%	%	%	%	%	%				
Stark	256	85.2	0.8	9.4	3.5	0.4	0.8	0.0				
Steele	9	*	*	*	*	*	*	*				
Stutsman	220	88.6	0.0	10.0	0.9	0.5	0.0	0.0				
Towner	10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*				
Traill	90	*	*	*	*	*	*	*				
Walsh	224	60.3	0.4	34.8	3.6	0.4	0.4	0.0				
Ward	1,125	75.6	3.0	7.4	7.7	1.7	4.3	0.3				
Wells	28	*	*	*	*	*	*	*				
Williams	257	78.2	0.0	4.3	8.2	0.4	8.6	0.4				
North Dakota	8,482	67.6	3.4	7.5	17.5	1.2	2.7	0.2				



Page:

Run Date: 11 / 10 / 09

⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

⁽²⁾ Excludes data with errors.

Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 4B

2006 - 2008 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance (1)

North Dakota Comparison of Age Distribution by County Combined 3 Years

Page: 25 Run Date: 11 / 10 / 09

Comparison of Age Distribution (2)												
County	Number Included in Analysis	< 15 Years %	15 - 17 Years %	18 - 19 Years %	20 - 29 Years %	30 - 39 Years %	>= 40 Years %	Unknown %				
Adams	18	*	*	*	*	*	*	*				
Barnes	82	*	*	*	*	*	*	*				
Benson	255	1.6	12.5	19.2	52.5	12.9	1.2	0.0				
Bottineau	35	*	*	*	*	*	*	*				
Bowman	27	*	*	*	*	*	*	*				
Burke	10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*				
Burleigh	955	0.0	4.7	13.5	67.7	13.0	0.9	0.1				
Cass	1,646	0.2	3.8	12.5	66.6	15.8	1.1	0.0				
Cavalier	27	*	*	*	*	*	*	*				
Dickey	65	*	*	*	*	*	*	*				
Divide	13	*	*	*	*	*	*	*				
Dunn	8	*	*	*	*	*	*	*				
Eddy	14	*	*	*	*	*	*	*				
Emmons	50	*	*	*	*	*	*	*				
Foster	41	*	*	*	*	*	*	*				
Golden Val	7	*	*	*	*	*	*	*				
Grand Fork	1,205	0.1	3.6	11.8	69.3	14.1	1.2	0.0				
Grant	9	*	*	*	*	*	*	*				
Griggs	22	*	*	*	*	*	*	*				

⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.



⁽²⁾ Excludes data with errors.

^{*} Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 4B

2006 - 2008 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance (1)

North Dakota Comparison of Age Distribution by County Combined 3 Years

County Number Included in Analysis < 15 Years %	Jnknown % * * * *
Kidder 20 * </th <th>* * *</th>	* * *
LaMoure 22 *<	*
Logan 7 * <td>*</td>	*
McHenry 31 *<	*
McIntosh 37 *	
McKenzie 13 *	*
McLean 57 * </td <td></td>	
Mercer 39 * </td <td>*</td>	*
Morton 250 0.0 3.6 12.0 66.8 17.2 0.4	*
	*
Mountrail 9 * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	0.0
	*
Nelson 21 * * * * * * * * * *	*
Pembina 75 * * * * * * * * * *	*
Pierce 41 * * * * * * * * * *	*
Ramsey 214 0.5 6.5 17.3 60.3 14.0 1.4	0.0
Ransom 75 * * * * * * * * * * *	*
Renville 6 * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	*
Richland 196 0.0 4.1 12.8 69.4 13.8 0.0	0.0
Rolette 603 0.7 9.8 15.8 60.7 12.4 0.7	0.0

⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.



Page:

Run Date: 11 / 10 / 09

⁽²⁾ Excludes data with errors.

^{*} Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 4B

2006 - 2008 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance (1)

North Dakota Comparison of Age Distribution by County Combined 3 Years

Page: 27 Run Date: 11 / 10 / 09

Comparison of Age Distribution (2)												
County	Number Included in Analysis	< 15 Years %	15 - 17 Years %	18 - 19 Years %	20 - 29 Years %	30 - 39 Years %	>= 40 Years %	Unknown %				
Sargent	35	*	*	*	*	*	*	*				
Sheridan	17	*	*	*	*	*	*	*				
Stark	256	0.0	4.3	11.7	66.8	14.5	2.3	0.4				
Steele	9	*	*	*	*	*	*	*				
Stutsman	220	0.5	6.4	14.1	62.3	15.9	0.9	0.0				
Towner	10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*				
Traill	90	*	*	*	*	*	*	*				
Walsh	224	0.0	8.9	12.5	57.6	19.6	1.3	0.0				
Ward	1,125	0.0	4.0	11.9	71.1	12.6	0.4	0.0				
Wells	28	*	*	*	*	*	*	*				
Williams	257	0.0	5.4	12.8	68.1	12.8	0.8	0.0				
North Dakota	8,482	0.2	5.0	12.8	66.2	14.9	1.0	0.0				



⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

⁽²⁾ Excludes data with errors.

^{*} Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 5B 2006 - 2008 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance (1) North Dakota

Comparison of Maternal Health Indicators by County **Combined 3 Years**

Comparison of Maternal Health Indicators (2, 3) Hypertension Gestational Weight Gain Anemia County Prepregnancy BMI Diabetes During (Low Hb/Hct) (4) (5) During Pregnancy (6) Pregnancy Under-Over-< Ideal > Ideal 3rd Trimester Postpartum weight weight % % % % % Number % % % Number Number Number Number Number (Rank) (Rank) (Rank) (Rank) (Rank) (Rank) (Rank) (Rank) 15 9 17 16 16 Adams 62 17 68 76 76 68 **Barnes** 175 65 214 44 199 Benson 7.9 (5) 45.3 (1) 13.7 (1) 50.9 (10) 6.0 (7) 199 14.1(10) 25 Bottineau 28 28 31 31 23 21 24 23 23 Bowman 5 * * 6 Burke 739 855 142 26.1 (2) 725 781 7.2 (10) 781 Burleigh 9.6 (11) 47.1 (3) 19.9 (11) 45.7 (2) 19.3 (6) 10.8(7) 1,158 1,224 Cass 1,373 8.4 (8) 47.9 (5) 20.7 (13) 46.0 (3) 258 29.1 (3) 23.4 (8) 1,339 4.9 (5) 1,339 7.0(1)17 * * 8 13 13 Cavalier 26 49 56 11 50 45 45 Dickey 9 10 11 11 11 Divide 7 5 8 0 5 Dunn 13 Eddy 13 11 13 13 39 42 22 43 43 **Emmons** 29 33 26 Foster 34 34 4 5 Golden Val 6 672 913 726 834 (2) 835

15.2 (5) 52.5 (13)

165

30.3 (4)

26.7(10)

4.0

Grand Fork

49.0 (7)

7.1 (2)



14.7(11)

Page:

Run Date: 11 / 10 / 09

⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

Based on 1990 IOM report, "Nutrition During Pregnancy": underweight (BMI <19.8), overweight (BMI >26.0; includes overweight and obese women).

Based on 1990 IOM report, "Nutrition During Pregnancy": underweight prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 28 to 40 lbs), normal weight prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 25 to 35 lbs), overweight prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 15 to 25 lbs), obese prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 15 to 25 lbs).

Based on 1990 MMWR, "Recommendations to Prevent and Control Iron Deficiency in the United States"; adjusted for altitude and smoking.

Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 5B 2006 - 2008 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance (1) North Dakota

Comparison of Maternal Health Indicators by County
Combined 3 Years

Comparison of Maternal Health Indicators (2, 3) Gestational Hypertension Weight Gain Anemia County Prepregnancy BMI Diabetes During (Low Hb/Hct) (4) (5) During Pregnancy (6) Pregnancy Under-Over-< Ideal > Ideal 3rd Trimester Postpartum weight weight % % % % Number % Number % % % Number Number Number Number (Rank) (Rank) (Rank) (Rank) (Rank) (Rank) (Rank) (Rank) 6 9 Grant 18 15 20 20 11 Griggs 4 6 * * 2 3 Hettinger 13 Kidder 15 16 18 18 13 LaMoure 18 14 14 15 6 * * * * 6 3 6 Logan 23 22 28 28 26 McHenry 28 13 8 33 McIntosh * * 10 * * 8 10 10 McKenzie 11 36 McLean 41 12 45 47 46 29 35 30 34 34 Mercer 163 (8) 197 38 184 Morton 210 49.5 (8) 198 6.6 7.1 (2) 16.6 (7) 46.0 (3) 16.8 (5) 10.2(6) 7 4 5 Mountrail 6 6 15 15 15 16 16 Nelson 56 14 35 Pembina 66 62 62 32 Pierce 38 30 36 36

14.8 (3) 48.9 (7)

173

28.9(12)

184

Ramsey

193

176

11.9 (13) 50.3 (10)



16.8(13)

3.3 (1) 184

Page:

Run Date: 11 / 10 / 09

⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

⁽²⁾ Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

³⁾ Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

⁽⁴⁾ Based on 1990 IOM report, "Nutrition During Pregnancy": underweight (BMI <19.8), overweight (BMI >26.0; includes overweight and obese women).

⁽⁵⁾ Based on 1990 IOM report, "Nutrition During Pregnancy": underweight prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 28 to 40 lbs), normal weight prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 25 to 35 lbs), overweight prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 15 to 25 lbs), obese prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 15 to 25 lbs).

⁽⁶⁾ Based on 1990 MMWR, "Recommendations to Prevent and Control Iron Deficiency in the United States"; adjusted for altitude and smoking.

Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 5B 2006 - 2008 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance (1) North Dakota

Comparison of Maternal Health Indicators by County
Combined 3 Years

Comparison of Maternal Health Indicators (2, 3) Gestational Hypertension Weight Gain Anemia County Prepregnancy BMI Diabetes During (Low Hb/Hct) (4) (5) During Pregnancy (6) Pregnancy Under-Over-< Ideal > Ideal 3rd Trimester Postpartum weight weight % % % % % Number % % % Number Number Number Number Number (Rank) (Rank) (Rank) (Rank) (Rank) (Rank) (Rank) (Rank) 54 61 13 47 65 65 Ransom 4 * 4 2 Renville 117 24 112 Richland 156 9.6 (11) 50.0 (9) 14.5 (2) 47.0 (5) 27.7(11) 148 9.5 (12) 148 9.5(4) 520 Rolette 560 55.9 (12) 49.0 (8) 51 434 25.8 (9) 519 5.0 (6) 520 6.8 (1) 17.7 (9) 7.7(2)30 34 24 28 28 Sargent 11 * * 14 8 10 10 Sheridan 199 Stark 230 116 193 7.4 (4) 47.8 (4) 17.6 (8) 51.8 (11) 16.4 (4) 4.7 (4) 10.9(8) 8 8 6 Steele 149 9.5(4) Stutsman 183 8.2 (7) 48.6 (6) 14.8 (3) 52.3 (12) 31 148 14.9 (3) 9.5 (12) 169 5 7 6 Towner 28 63 45 51 51 Traill 172 30 130 Walsh 203 8.4 (8) 57.6 (13) 20.3 (12) 48.8 (6) 20.0 (7) 159 6.9 (9) 159 15.7(12) 762 Ward 927 9.1 (10) 45.7 (2) 49.9 (9) 184 20.7 (1) 769 13.7 (2) 870 4.4 (3) 868 16.4 (6) 8.6(3)25 Wells 28 21 22 22 167 68 7.2 (10) 181 Williams 201 8.0 (6) 51.7 (11) 18.6 (10) 44.9 (1) 169 8.3 (1) 181 11.6(9) **North Dakota** 7,118 8.3 49.3 5,921 17.6 48.6 1,278 25.6 5.656 20.8 6.604 5.4 6,602 10.3



Page:

Run Date: 11 / 10 / 09

⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

⁽²⁾ Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

⁽³⁾ Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

⁽⁴⁾ Based on 1990 IOM report, "Nutrition During Pregnancy": underweight (BMI <19.8), overweight (BMI >26.0; includes overweight and obese women).

⁽⁵⁾ Based on 1990 IOM report, "Nutrition During Pregnancy": underweight prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 28 to 40 lbs), normal weight prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 25 to 35 lbs), overweight prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 15 to 25 lbs), obese prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 15 to 25 lbs).

⁽⁶⁾ Based on 1990 MMWR, "Recommendations to Prevent and Control Iron Deficiency in the United States"; adjusted for altitude and smoking.

Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 6B

2006 - 2008 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance (1) North Dakota

Comparison of Maternal Behavioral Indicators by County Combined 3 Years

				ined 3 Yea							
	C	omparisor	of Mate	rnal Beh	avioral Inc	dicators	(2, 3)				
County		Medical Care		WIC E	nrollment	Multivitamin Consumption					
		1st Trimester		1st Tı	rimester		regnancy (4)	During Preganancy (5)		су	
	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Ra		
Adams	12	* *	* *	15	* *	9	* *	7	*	*	
Barnes	43	* *	* *	66	* *	64	* *	54	*	*	
Benson	171	64.9 (12)	0.0 (1)	202	30.2 (13)	152	17.8 (12)	153	2.6	(13)	
Bottineau	20	* *	* *	30	* *	27	* *	23	*	*	
Bowman	17	* *	* *	21	* *	15	* *	15	*	*	
Burke	4	* *	* *	6	* *	8	* *	5	*	*	
Burleigh	497	88.1 (4)	0.0 (1)	829	43.9 (7)	715	19.0 (9)	535	5.8	(9)	
Cass	789	83.8 (9)	0.0 (1)	1,329	42.3 (11)	1,009	18.3 (11)	898	9.9	(1)	
Cavalier	13	* *	* *	24	* *	20	* *	16	*	*	
Dickey	31	* *	* *	57	* *	48	* *	42	*	*	
Divide	6	* *	* *	9	* *	5	* *	6	*	*	
Dunn	4	* *	* *	8	* *	6	* *	5	*	*	
Eddy	7	* *	* *	13	* *	9	* *	7	*	*	
Emmons	29	* *	* *	41	* *	36	* *	22	*	*	
Foster	23	* *	* *	33	* *	30	* *	26	*	*	
Golden Val	1	* *	* *	4	* *	2	* *	2	*	*	
Grand Fork	514	89.9 (2)	0.0 (1)	915	47.5 (4)	869	23.1 (5)	621	7.2	(7)	
Grant	6	* *	* *	8	* *	6	* *	6	*	*	
Griggs	12	* *	* *	17	* *	18	* *	14	*	*	
Hettinger	3	* *	* *	5	* *	4	* *	2	*	*	

- (1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.
- (2) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.
- (3) Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.
- (4) Women who reported taking a multivitamin four times a week or more in the month before pregnancy; proxy for folic acid consumption.
- (5) Pregnant women who reported taking a multivitamin in the past month: proxy for prenatal iron consumption.
- * Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.



Page:

Run Date: 11 / 10 / 09

Table 6B

2006 - 2008 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance (1) North Dakota Comparison of Maternal Behavioral Indicators by County

Combined 3 Years

Page: 32 Run Date: 11 / 10 / 09

	(Compariso	n of Mate	ernal Be	havioral Ind	dicators (2, 3)	
County		Medical Care	е	WIC E	Enrollment	Multivitamiı	n Consumption
		1st Trimester	No Care	1st 7	Frimester	Prepregnancy (4)	During Preganancy (5)
	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number % (Rank	Number % (Rank)
Kidder	12	* *	* *	16	* *	17 *	* 13 * *
LaMoure	13	* *	* *	16	* *	13 *	* 10 * *
Logan	4	* *	* *	5	* *	4 *	* 3 * *
McHenry	10	* *	* *	22	* *	22 *	* 16 * *
McIntosh	19	* *	* *	32	* *	31 *	* 24 * *
McKenzie	7	* *	* *	11	* *	10 *	* 7 * *
McLean	30	* *	* *	43	* *	41 *	* 29 * *
Mercer	23	* *	* *	35	* *	30 *	* 26 * *
Morton	124	87.1 (6)	0.0 (1)	211	41.2 (12)	178 19.7	(8) 140 7.9 (5)
Mountrail	3	* *	* *	7	* *	6 *	* 3 * *
Nelson	14	* *	* *	16	* *	15 *	* 13 * *
Pembina	49	* *	* *	65	* *	63 *	* 56 * *
Pierce	25	* *	* *	36	* *	35 *	* 26 * *
Ramsey	136	87.5 (5)	0.0 (1)	187	43.9 (7)	169 22.5	7) 134 4.5 (10)
Ransom	36	* *	* *	59	* *	56 *	* 41 * *
Renville	5	* *	* *	4	* *	5 *	* 4 * *
Richland	87	* *	* *	161	52.8 (3)	146 25.3	(4) 112 7.1 (8)
Rolette	376	81.4 (11)	0.0 (1)	562	58.5 (1)	547 11.3 (1	3) 370 2.7 (12)
Sargent	16	* *	* *	30	* *	19 *	* 14 * *
Sheridan	10	* *	* *	14	* *	13 *	* 8 * *

- (1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.
- (2) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.
- (3) Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.
- (4) Women who reported taking a multivitamin four times a week or more in the month before pregnancy; proxy for folic acid consumption.
- (5) Pregnant women who reported taking a multivitamin in the past month: proxy for prenatal iron consumption.
- Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.



Table 6B

2006 - 2008 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance (1) North Dakota Comparison of Maternal Behavioral Indicators by County

Combined 3 Years

Comparison of Maternal Behavioral Indicators (2, 3)														
County		Medical (Care			WIC Enrollment			Multivitamin Consumption					
	1st Trimester					1st Trimester								
				No C	Care				Prepregnancy (4)			During Preganano (5)		ncy
	Number	Number % % Number % Nur (Rank) (Rank) (Rank)			Number	۶ (Ra	% ink)	Number		% ank)				
Stark	126	89.7	(3)	0.0	(1)	206	43.7	(9)	185	28.6	(2)	133	8.3	(3)
Steele	5	*	*	*	*	7	*	*	7	*	*	4	*	*
Stutsman	128	92.2	(1)	0.0	(1)	181	44.8	(5)	173	29.5	(1)	137	8.8	(2)
Towner	2	*	*	*	*	6	*	*	5	*	*	4	*	*
Traill	43	*	*	*	*	63	*	*	68	*	*	29	*	*
Walsh	107	82.2 ((10)	0.0	(1)	203	56.7	(2)	179	18.4	(10)	119	4.2	(11)
Ward	562	86.1	(8)	0.0	(1)	916	44.5	(6)	849	26.9	(3)	644	7.3	(6)
Wells	19	*	*	*	*	26	*	*	25	*	*	25	*	*
Williams	140	86.4	(7)	0.0	(1)	204	43.1	(10)	133	22.6	(6)	145	8.3	(3)
North Dakota	4,333	85.9		0.0		6,976	45.6		6,096	22.3		4,748	7.4	



Page:

Run Date: 11 / 10 / 09

⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

⁽²⁾ Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

⁽³⁾ Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

⁽⁴⁾ Women who reported taking a multivitamin four times a week or more in the month before pregnancy; proxy for folic acid consumption.

⁽⁵⁾ Pregnant women who reported taking a multivitamin in the past month: proxy for prenatal iron consumption.

Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 7B 2006 - 2008 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance

North Dakota

Comparison of Smoking Indicators by County Combined 3 Years

		Co	mpariso	n of Smo	kina Ind	icators	(2, 3)			
County	2.M	Smo	king		Quit S By First	moking Prenatal Stayed Off	Smoking in Household			
		onths Pregnancy		Months egnancy	Ciga	rettes (4)	Prenatal		Postpartum	
	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)
Adams	12	* *	13	* *	2	* *	10	* *	15	* *
Barnes	63	* *	77	* *	28	* *	59	* *	77	* *
Benson	204	61.3 (11)	200	35.0 (10)	79	* *	162	34.6 (12)	191	22.0 (12)
Bottineau	28	* *	31	* *	10	* *	25	* *	28	* *
Bowman	19	* *	23	* *	4	* *	17	* *	22	* *
Burke	8	* *	8	* *	1	* *	6	* *	8	* *
Burleigh	721	53.3 (8)	778	24.8 (6)	264	45.5 (1)	612	13.2 (1)	764	4.8 (1)
Cass	1,208	45.6 (4)	1,328	24.2 (5)	388	31.7 (4)	1,041	25.1 (10)	1,231	19.0 (10)
Cavalier	22	* *	13	* *	4	* *	18	* *	11	* *
Dickey	48	* *	52	* *	8	* *	42	* *	52	* *
Divide	7	* *	10	* *	3	* *	6	* *	11	* *
Dunn	6	* *	5	* *	1	* *	6	* *	3	* *
Eddy	9	* *	13	* *	5	* *	8	* *	12	* *
Emmons	36	* *	43	* *	0	* *	26	* *	42	* *
Foster	32	* *	35	* *	11	* *	30	* *	34	* *

⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.



Page:

Run Date: 11 / 10 / 09

⁽²⁾ Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

⁽³⁾ Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

⁽⁴⁾ Among women who smoked 3 months prior to pregnancy.

Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 7B 2006 - 2008 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance

Nancy Nutrition Surveillance North Dakota

Comparison of Smoking Indicators by County
Combined 3 Years

		Co	mpariso	n of Smo	king Ind	icators	(2, 3)				
County		Smo	king		Quit S By First	moking Prenatal	Smoking in Household				
		onths Pregnancy		B Months egnancy	Visit and Ciga	Stayed Off rettes (4)	Pre	natal	Postpartum		
	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	
Golden Val	2	* *	4	* *	0	* *	2	* *	4	* *	
Grand Fork	874	47.5 (5)	875	20.2 (2)	232	39.7 (2)	722	15.8 (3)	830	9.5 (5)	
Grant	7	* *	8	* *	0	* *	7	* *	7	* *	
Griggs	18	* *	20	* *	6	* *	13	* *	19	* *	
Hettinger	5	* *	3	* *	0	* *	4	* *	3	* *	
Kidder	17	* *	18	* *	8	* *	15	* *	17	* *	
LaMoure	13	* *	19	* *	5	* *	10	* *	19	* *	
Logan	5	* *	6	* *	1	* *	5	* *	4	* *	
McHenry	16	* *	29	* *	6	* *	21	* *	29	* *	
McIntosh	32	* *	21	* *	4	* *	27	* *	21	* *	
McKenzie	10	* *	9	* *	3	* *	8	* *	7	* *	
McLean	41	* *	49	* *	11	* *	36	* *	44	* *	
Mercer	28	* *	33	* *	15	* *	26	* *	32	* *	
Morton	176	55.1 (9)	198	23.7 (4)	68	* *	153	23.5 (9)	191	11.5 (7)	
Mountrail	5	* *	5	* *	0	* *	3	* *	4	* *	

⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.



Page:

Run Date: 11 / 10 / 09

⁽²⁾ Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

⁽³⁾ Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

⁽⁴⁾ Among women who smoked 3 months prior to pregnancy.

Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 7B 2006 - 2008 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance

North Dakota

Comparison of Smoking Indicators by County Combined 3 Years

		Coi	mpariso	n of Smo	kina Ind	icators	(2, 3)			
County		Smo			Quit S By First	moking Prenatal		Smoking in	Household	
		onths Pregnancy		Months egnancy		Stayed Off rettes (4)	Pre	natal	Postpartum	
	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)
Nelson	16	* *	16	* *	5	* *	14	* *	14	* *
Pembina	64	* *	62	* *	19	* *	56	* *	60	* *
Pierce	34	* *	36	* *	5	* *	27	* *	34	* *
Ramsey	172	50.0 (7)	188	31.4 (9)	61	* *	144	18.1 (5)	186	8.6 (3)
Ransom	51	* *	65	* *	19	* *	48	* *	65	* *
Renville	5	* *	4	* *	0	* *	5	* *	6	* *
Richland	147	59.2 (10)	149	38.3 (13)	57	* *	130	43.8 (13)	146	38.4 (13)
Rolette	538	65.8 (13)	525	38.1 (12)	271	26.9 (5)	467	25.1 (10)	520	9.6 (6)
Sargent	19	* *	28	* *	6	* *	15	* *	28	* *
Sheridan	12	* *	12	* *	2	* *	10	* *	8	* *
Stark	189	42.9 (3)	196	26.5 (7)	53	* *	152	23.0 (7)	192	19.3 (11)
Steele	5	* *	2	* *	0	* *	6	* *	3	* *
Stutsman	175	48.0 (6)	170	26.5 (7)	59	* *	145	15.2 (2)	156	7.7 (2)
Towner	5	* *	7	* *	0	* *	4	* *	7	* *
Traill	65	* *	50	* *	10	* *	44	* *	46	* *

⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.



Page:

Run Date: 11 / 10 / 09

⁽²⁾ Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

⁽³⁾ Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

⁽⁴⁾ Among women who smoked 3 months prior to pregnancy.

Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 7B

2006 - 2008 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance North Dakota

Comparison of Smoking Indicators by County Combined 3 Years

Comparison of Smoking Indicators (2, 3)													
County		Smo	Quit Smoking By First Prenatal			Smoking in	Household						
		3 Months Prior to Pregnancy		Last 3 Months of Pregnancy		Stayed Off rettes (4)	Prenatal		Postpartum				
	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)			
Walsh	178	29.8 (1)	167	17.4 (1)	38	* *	158	17.1 (4)	162	9.3 (4)			
Ward	829	39.3 (2)	872	21.7 (3)	218	35.3 (3)	709	19.2 (6)	850	13.1 (8)			
Wells	25	* *	22	* *	8	* *	25	* *	20	* *			
Williams	187	61.5 (12)	173	35.3 (11)	60	* *	152	23.0 (7)	156	16.0 (9)			
North Dakota	6,388	48.1	6,670	25.2	2,058	34.5	5,431	21.5	6,391	13.6			



Page:

Run Date: 11 / 10 / 09

⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

⁽²⁾ Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

⁽³⁾ Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

⁽⁴⁾ Among women who smoked 3 months prior to pregnancy.

Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

2006 - 2008 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance (1) North Dakota

Comparison of Infant Health Indicators by County Combined 3 Years

		Comparis	on of l	nfant He	alth Indic	ators (2	2, 3)		
County		Birthweight		Pre	eterm (6)		rm LBW 7)	Ever E	Breastfed
		Low (4)	High (5)						
	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)
Adams	7	* *	* *	5	* *	3	* *	7	* *
Barnes	60	* *	* *	48	* *	40	* *	60	* *
Benson	179	5.6 (1) 1	9.0 (9)	132	18.2 (8)	108	0.9 (1)	185	5.4 (10)
Bottineau	8	* *	* *	7	* *	5	* *	8	* *
Bowman	16	* *	* *	13	* *	11	* *	16	* *
Burke	7	* *	* *	3	* *	2	* *	7	* *
Burleigh	690	10.0 (8)	7.2 (1)	591	13.7 (6)	509	2.6 (4)	700	26.7 (5)
Cass	499	10.4 (9) 1	0.6 (4)	432	13.4 (5)	374	3.7 (7)	509	36.7 (1)
Cavalier	10	* *	* *	8	* *	8	* *	11	* *
Dickey	41	* *	* *	34	* *	30	* *	41	* *
Divide	8	* *	* *	6	* *	6	* *	8	* *
Dunn	0	* *	* *	0	* *	0	* *	0	* *
Eddy	9	* *	* *	9	* *	8	* *	10	* *
Emmons	38	* *	* *	30	* *	30	* *	38	* *

- (1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.
- (2) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.
- (3) Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.
- (4) <2500 g.
- (5) >4000 g.
- (6) <37 weeks gestation.
- (7) 37 or more weeks gestation and <2500 g.
 - Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.



Page:

Run Date: 11 / 10 / 09

2006 - 2008 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance (1) North Dakota

Comparison of Infant Health Indicators by County Combined 3 Years

		Compari	son of l	nfant He	alth Indic	eators (2, 3)	
County		Birthweight		Pre	eterm (6)	Full Term LBW (7)	Ever Breastfed
		Low (4)	High (5)				
	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number % (Rank)	Number % (Rank)
Foster	29	* *	* *	23	* *	21 * *	29 * *
Golden Val	4	* *	* *	1	* *	0 * *	4 * *
Grand Fork	445	6.1 (3)	9.0 (3)	319	12.2 (4)	277 2.2 (3)	460 29.8 (3)
Grant	7	* *	* *	6	* *	6 * *	7 * *
Griggs	10	* *	* *	7	* *	7 * *	10 * *
Hettinger	2	* *	* *	1	* *	1 * *	2 * *
Kidder	16	* *	* *	14	* *	13 * *	16 * *
LaMoure	14	* *	* *	10	* *	9 * *	14 * *
Logan	1	* *	* *	0	* *	0 * *	1 * *
McHenry	25	* *	* *	17	* *	14 * *	26 * *
McIntosh	1	* *	* *	1	* *	1 * *	1 * *
McKenzie	1	* *	* *	1	* *	1 * *	1 * *
McLean	35	* *	* *	23	* *	20 * *	35 * *
Mercer	30	* *	* *	27	* *	23 * *	30 * *

- (1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.
- (2) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.
- (3) Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.
- (4) <2500 g.
- (5) >4000 g.
- (6) <37 weeks gestation.
- (7) 37 or more weeks gestation and <2500 g.
 - Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.



Page:

Run Date: 11 / 10 / 09

2006 - 2008 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance (1) North Dakota

Comparison of Infant Health Indicators by County Combined 3 Years

Comparison of Infant Health Indicators (2, 3)										
County	Birthweight			Preterm (6)		Full Term LBW (7)		Ever Breastfed		
		Low (4)	High (5)							
	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	
Morton	181	9.9 (7)	8.3 (2)	146	14.4 (7)	125	3.2 (6)	185	27.0 (4)	
Mountrail	3	* *	* *	1	* *	1	* *	3	* *	
Nelson	10	* *	* *	6	* *	5	* *	10	* *	
Pembina	35	* *	* *	29	* *	26	* *	37	* *	
Pierce	27	* *	* *	24	* *	22	* *	29	* *	
Ramsey	142	8.5 (5) 1	13.4 (8)	120	9.2 (1)	109	2.8 (5)	145	24.1 (8)	
Ransom	32	* *	* *	24	* *	21	* *	32	* *	
Renville	6	* *	* *	4	* *	3	* *	6	* *	
Richland	76	* *	* *	62	* *	58	* *	77	* *	
Rolette	284	9.2 (6) 1	12.7 (7)	263	11.8 (3)	230	3.9 (8)	308	19.5 (9)	
Sargent	26	* *	* *	21	* *	18	* *	27	* *	
Sheridan	9	* *	* *	7	* *	7	* *	9	* *	
Stark	97	* *	* *	73	* *	63	* *	102	34.3 (2)	
Steele	1	* *	* *	1	* *	1	* *	1	* *	

- (1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.
- (2) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.
- (3) Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.
- (4) <2500 g.
- (5) >4000 g.
- (6) <37 weeks gestation.
- (7) 37 or more weeks gestation and <2500 g.
 - Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.



Page:

Run Date: 11 / 10 / 09

2006 - 2008 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance (1) North Dakota

Comparison of Infant Health Indicators by County Combined 3 Years

Comparison of Infant Health Indicators (2, 3)										
County	Birthweight			Preterm (6)		Full Term LBW		Ever Breastfed		
		Low (4)	High (5)							
	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	
Stutsman	58	* *	* *	46	* *	43	* *	59	* *	
Towner	5	* *	* *	3	* *	2	* *	5	* *	
Traill	30	* *	* *	16	* *	15	* *	31	* *	
Walsh	102	5.9 (2) 1	11.8 (6)	92	* *	81	* *	107	25.2 (7)	
Ward	490	8.0 (4) 1	10.6 (4)	364	11.0 (2)	323	1.9 (2)	500	25.6 (6)	
Wells	18	* *	* *	16	* *	14	* *	19	* *	
Williams	8	* *	* *	6	* *	5	* *	8	* *	
North Dakota	3,832	8.3	10.6	3,092	12.5	2,699	2.9	3,936	27.3	



Page:

Run Date: 11 / 10 / 09

⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

⁽²⁾ Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

⁽³⁾ Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

^{(4) &}lt;2500 g.

^{(5) &}gt;4000 g.

^{(6) &}lt;37 weeks gestation.

^{(7) 37} or more weeks gestation and <2500 g.

Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.